



Engineering Knowledge Transfer Units to Increase  
Student's Employability and Regional Development

## Module 3: Vehicle Design + Dynamics

PhD, Assoc. prof., Sanjarbek Ruzimov  
[sanjarbek.ruzimov@polito.it](mailto:sanjarbek.ruzimov@polito.it)

**FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY**



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

*This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*  
598710-EPP-1-2018-1-AT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY

# Agenda

- Slot 3: Vehicle dynamics simulation
  - Longitudinal dynamics and fuel consumption simulation in Matlab/Simulink
  - Braking dynamics simulation
- Slot 4 and 5: Vertical dynamics
  - Vertical dynamics of the vehicle
  - State of Art of Passive, Semi active and Active damping systems
  - Roll motion
- Slot 6: Control systems
  - Height adjustment system control
  - Regenerative Suspension control
  - Active roll control

# Vehicle dynamics simulation





- 
- Longitudinal dynamics and fuel consumption simulation in Matlab/Simulink
  - Braking dynamics simulation



# Motor Vehicle Design



- 8 ECST (Lectures + Practice + Projects)
  - Part 1 : Forces and moments at the tire-road contact
  - Part 2: Longitudinal dynamics of the vehicle
  - Part 3: Suspensions
  - Part 4: Lateral dynamics of the vehicle
  - Part 5: Brake system
  - Part 6: Transmission system
- Prerequisites
  - Physics: rigid body equilibrium, vector analysis.
  - Applied mechanics: kinematics, vibration analysis
  - Engineering drawing.




# Longitudinal dynamics and fuel consumption simulation in Matlab/Simulink




# Modelling approaches

## Forward model



- Physical causality is held;
- Driving cycle speed is compared with the actual vehicle speed;
- Driver model (e.g. PID controller) generates braking and throttle commands;
- Commands are sent to the supervisor block responsible to generate the actuators set points.
- **Deviation from speed set point;**
- **Powertrain limitations are taken into consideration;**
- **Useful to develop online control strategy;**
- **Drivability model can be included.**

## Backward model




- Desired speed is used to compute accelerations, power at the ground level, forces and torques;
- Driver model is not necessary;
- Torque/speed characteristics of the different powertrain components are considered in order to determine the engine operating conditions and its fuel consumptions;
- **Powertrain limitations are not considered;**
- **Actual speed is exactly the same as speed set point;**
- **Preliminary analysis of different EMS.**




# Homologation Drive Cycle



Worldwide harmonized Light vehicles Test Procedure (WLTP)




New European Driving Cycle



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union


# Vehicle longitudinal dynamics model





# Simulink model of the vehicle (Backward)


The QSS-toolbox 2.0.1 (Mozilla Public License, v. 2.0.)



Source: [https://ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/mavt/dynamic-systems-n-control/idsc-dam/Research\\_Order/Downloads/qss.zip](https://ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/mavt/dynamic-systems-n-control/idsc-dam/Research_Order/Downloads/qss.zip)


Source: Guzella

# Drive cycle



$$a_x(t) = dV_x/dt \quad S_x(t) = \int V_x dt$$

# Vehicle longitudinal dynamics



$$x \rightarrow F_{x1} + F_{x2} - F_{xa} - mg \sin \alpha = m\dot{V}$$


$$z \uparrow F_{z1} + F_{z2} + F_{za} - mg \cos \alpha = 0$$

$$F_{z1}a - F_{z2}b + mg \sin \alpha h_G + F_{xa}h_G - M_{ya} = -mh_G\dot{V}$$

$$F_{z1} = \frac{mg(b \cos \alpha - h_G \sin \alpha) - bF_{za} - h_G F_{xa} + M_{ya} - mh_G\dot{V}}{l}$$

$$F_{z2} = \frac{mg(a \cos \alpha + h_G \sin \alpha) - aF_{za} + h_G F_{xa} - M_{ya} + mh_G\dot{V}}{l}$$

# Vehicle dynamics block




$$F_{\text{roll}} = M \cdot g \cdot f_r$$

$$F_{\text{acc}} = M \cdot a_x$$

$$F_{\text{aero}} = 0.5 \cdot \rho \cdot C_x \cdot A_f \cdot V_x^2$$

$$F_{\text{res}} = F_{\text{roll}} + F_{\text{aero}} + F_{\text{acc}}$$

# Wheel (Tire) block



$$\omega_{\text{wheel}} = \frac{V_x}{R_{\text{wheel}}}$$

$$\dot{\omega}_{\text{wheel}} = \frac{a_x}{R_{\text{wheel}}}$$

$$T_{\text{wheel}} = F_{\text{wheel}} \cdot R_{\text{wheel}}$$

Wheel inertia

$$T_{\text{axle}} = 4 \cdot J_{\text{wheel}} \cdot \dot{\omega}_{\text{wheel}} + T_{\text{wheel}}$$


Road –tire Limitations:

$$F_{z.\text{front}} = (M \cdot g \cdot b - M \cdot a_x \cdot h_G - 0.5 \cdot \rho \cdot A_f \cdot V_x^2 \cdot (h_G \cdot C_x - L \cdot C_{M_y} + b \cdot C_z))/L$$

$$F_x = F_z \cdot \mu_x$$

$$F_{z.\text{rear}} = (M \cdot g \cdot a + M \cdot a_x \cdot h_G - 0.5 \cdot \rho \cdot A_f \cdot V_x^2 \cdot (-h_G \cdot C_x + L \cdot C_{M_y} + a \cdot C_z))/L$$

# Gearbox (transmission) block




$$T_{gb} = \frac{T_{axle}}{i_{gb} \cdot \eta_{gb}}$$

$$\omega_{gb} = \omega_{axle} \cdot i_{gb}$$

$$\dot{\omega}_{gb} = \dot{\omega}_{axle} \cdot i_{gb}$$

# Engine characteristics: Efficiency contour lines



$$p_{me} = \frac{T_{ice} 4\pi}{V_d} \quad \text{ICE mean effective pressure}$$

$$p_{fuel} = \frac{m_f H_u}{V_d} \quad \text{Fuel mean effective pressure}$$

$$\eta_{ice} = \frac{p_{me}}{p_{fuel}}$$

$H$  – lower heating value of the fuel, [J/kg]

$$m_f = \frac{4 \pi T_{ice}}{H_u \eta_{ice}}$$

fuel consumption per engine cycle

$$q = P_{ice} g_{ice}, \text{ [g/s]}$$

$$Q = \int q dt, \text{ [g]}$$

$$g_{ice} = f(T_{ice}, \omega_{ice}), \text{ [g/kwh]}$$

# Braking dynamics simulation





# Braking – ideal case

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{F_{x1} + F_{x2} - F_{xa} - mg \sin \alpha}{m}$$

$$\mu_{x1} = \mu_{x2} = \mu_{xmax}$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\mu_x \left( mg - \frac{1}{2} \rho C_z S V^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \rho C_x S V^2}{m} - g \sin \alpha$$

If  $V \cong 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$

$$\dot{V} = -|\mu_x|g$$

(Already found in study of longitudinal dynamics)

$$t_{V1 \rightarrow V2} = \frac{V_1 - V_2}{|\mu_x|g}$$

$$t_{stop} = \frac{V_1}{|\mu_x|g}$$

$$s_{V1 \rightarrow V2} = \frac{V_1^2 - V_2^2}{2|\mu_x|g}$$

$$s_{stop} = \frac{V_1^2}{2|\mu_x|g}$$

Time and distance to reduce the speed from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  or to stop the vehicle from  $V_1$ . ( $V_1 > V_2$ )

# Ideal braking - tire longitudinal forces

If  $V \cong 0$  and  $\dot{V} = \mu_x g$

$$F_{z1} = \frac{mg(b \cos \alpha - h_G \sin \alpha) - mh_G \dot{V}}{l}$$

$$F_{z1} = \frac{mg}{l} [(b \cos \alpha - h_G \sin \alpha) - h_G \mu_x]$$

$$F_{z2} = \frac{mg(a \cos \alpha + h_G \sin \alpha) + mh_G \dot{V}}{l}$$

$$F_{z2} = \frac{mg}{l} [(a \cos \alpha + h_G \sin \alpha) + h_G \mu_x]$$

$$F_{x1} = \mu_x F_{z1} = \mu_x \frac{mg}{l} [b \cos \alpha - h_G \mu_x]$$

Small compared to other terms

$$F_{x2} = \mu_x F_{z2} = \mu_x \frac{mg}{l} [a \cos \alpha + h_G \mu_x]$$


# Ideal braking – tire forces

$$F_{x1} = \mu_x F_{z1} = \mu_x \frac{mg}{l} [b \cos \alpha - h_G \mu_x]$$

$$F_{x2} = \mu_x F_{z2} = \mu_x \frac{mg}{l} [a \cos \alpha + h_G \mu_x]$$



$$(F_{x1} + F_{x2})^2 + mg \cos^2 \alpha \left( F_{x1} \frac{a}{h_G} - F_{x2} \frac{b}{h_G} \right) = 0$$



# Ideal braking

$$\alpha = 0$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{F_{x1} + F_{x2}}{m}$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{F_{x1} + F_{x2} - F_{xa} - mg \sin \alpha}{m}$$

$$F_{x1} = \mu_{x1} \frac{mg(b \cos \alpha - h_G \sin \alpha) - h_G(F_{x1} + F_{x2})}{l}$$

$$F_{x1} = \mu_{x1} \frac{mgb - h_G(F_{x1} + F_{x2})}{l}$$

$$F_{x1} = \mu_{x1} \frac{mgb - h_G F_{x2}}{\left(1 + \mu_{x1} \frac{h_G}{l}\right) l}$$

$$F_{x1} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad F_{x2} = \frac{mgb}{h_G} \quad \text{Capsize limit during braking in reverse}$$



$$F_{x2} = \mu_{x2} \frac{mg(a \cos \alpha + h_G \sin \alpha) + h_G(F_{x1} + F_{x2})}{l}$$

$$F_{x2} = \mu_{x2} \frac{mga - h_G(F_{x1} + F_{x2})}{l}$$

$$F_{x2} = \mu_{x2} \frac{mga - h_G F_{x1}}{\left(1 + \mu_{x2} \frac{h_G}{l}\right) l}$$

$$F_{x2} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad F_{x1} = \frac{mga}{h_G} \quad \text{Capsize limit during braking in forward}$$

# Ideal braking – capsizing limits



$F_{x1} = 0$  if  $F_{x2} = \frac{mgb}{h_G}$  Capsizing limit during braking in reverse

$F_{x2} = 0$  if  $F_{x1} = \frac{mga}{h_G}$  Capsizing limit during braking in forward

# Ideal braking






Fig. 4.29 Plots  $M_{b2}(M_{b1})$  for ideal braking. (a) typical plot for a rear drive car with low ratio  $h_G/l$ ; (b) typical plot for a front drive saloon car with higher ratio  $h_G/l$ ; (c) plot for a small front drive car, sensitive to the load conditions and with high value of ratio  $h_G/l$ .

# Real vs. ideal braking



$$\eta_f = \frac{\dot{V}_{actual}}{\dot{V}_{ideal}} = \frac{\dot{V}_{actual}}{\mu_x g}$$

$$K_f \cong \frac{F_{x1}}{F_{x2}} = \frac{\mu_x^* \frac{mg}{l} [b + h_G \mu_x^*]}{\mu_x^* \frac{mg}{l} [a - h_G \mu_x^*]}$$

$$K_f \cong \frac{[b + h_G \mu_x^*]}{[a - h_G \mu_x^*]}$$


$\mu_{x2} < \mu_{x1}$

Front wheels lock earlier (safer)

$\mu_{x2} > \mu_{x1}$


Rear wheels lock earlier (unsafe)

# Rear braking controlled by valve






# Brake circuit




Front/rear split



Diagonal split

# Brake circuit

Front/rear split




## Pros

- Simple front/rear brake torque split
- Simpler hydraulic connections

## Cons

- Potential loss of vehicle stability if front circuit has a failure

Diagonal split





## Pros

- Better stability in case of failure of one circuit


## Cons

- More complicated hydraulic circuit
- Brake torque split managed by brake design.

# Master cylinder




# Power brake - schematic



Master cylinder

# Anti-locking braking system (ABS)



Stable region: max wheel angular acceleration is according to vehicle

Unstable region: wheel angular acceleration comes from dynamic equilibrium

$$\dot{V} = \mu_{xmax} g$$

$$\dot{V} = R \dot{\omega}$$

$$\dot{V} = \frac{R_0 \dot{\omega}}{1 + \sigma}$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{\mu_{xmax} g (1 + \sigma)}{R_0}$$

$$I_{wheel} \dot{\omega} = M_f - M_{tire}$$

If, for example

$$M_f = \mu_{xmax} F_z R_l$$

$$M_{tire} = 0.8 \mu_{xmax} F_z R_l$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{0.2 \mu_{xmax} F_z R_l}{I_{wheel}}$$

$$\dot{\omega} = 288 \frac{rad}{s^2}$$

$$\mu_{xmax} = 1.2$$

$$\sigma = 0.2$$





$$R_0 \cong R_l = 0.3 \text{ m}$$

$$F_z = 4 \text{ kN}$$

$$I_{wheel} = 1 \text{ kg m}^2$$


$$\dot{\omega} = 47 \frac{rad}{s^2}$$

# ABS




Off

Master cylinder pressure propagates to the brakes.



Reduce

Master cylinder is isolated from brakes. Brake is connected to the accumulator (2). Brake fluid is pumped (4) to the high pressure side to restore lost volume.



Hold

Master cylinder is isolated from brakes. Accumulator is isolated (2). Pump is off (4)

# CarSim brake Simulation



CarSim window to choose the generation of the force during the brake maneuver

[No linked library]  
 Control: Braking MC Pressure (Open Loop)  
 Control: Braking Pedal Force (Open Loop)  
 External PARSFILE

**Brake Torque at Wheel**

Front: Torque/pressure coef. 650 N-m/MPa

Rear: Torque/pressure coef. 320 N-m/MPa

**Delivery Pressure**

Front: Delivery/line pressure ratio 1

Rear: Delivery/line pressure ratio 1

**Fluid Dynamics**

Front fluid dynamics time constant: 0.06 s

Rear fluid dynamics time constant: 0.06 s

Front fluid dynamics transport delay: 0 s

Rear fluid dynamics transport delay: 0 s

Constant brake torque application

**Brake Torque at Wheel**

Front: Torque as nonlinear function of pressure  
 ZER front #1

Rear: Torque as nonlinear function of pressure  
 ZER rear #2

**Delivery Pressure**

Front: Delivery/line pressure ratio 1

Rear: Delivery/line pressure ratio 1

**Fluid Dynamics**

Front fluid dynamics time constant: 0.06 s

Rear fluid dynamics time constant: 0.06 s

Front fluid dynamics transport delay: 0 s

Rear fluid dynamics transport delay: 0 s


Variable brake torque application

M1[Nm]	M2[Nm]
0	0
-45,481935	-30,9950967
-93,07931	-63,4318258
-142,94323	-97,4131611
-195,23953	-133,052122
-246,52527	-147,49692
-302,40433	-163,23544
-360,82335	-179,689348
-421,95954	-196,908554
-486,00697	-214,947721
-553,17866	-233,866849

Braking torque values on front and rear axis

# CarSim brake Simulation

## Overview of brake system for one wheel




The brake line pressure (transport delayed master cylinder pressure) is modified to provide a pressure in the brake actuator. A tabular function relates the pressure to brake torque.

*CarSim working principle relatively to the brake system*




# CarSim brake Simulation



*longitudinal force on the tires*

*result of straight braking maneuver (time)*




Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

# Video simulation of the braking maneuver



Vehicle brakes from 300 km/h to full stop at Nardo test track



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

vehicle starts to brake after 10 seconds

# Slot 4 and 5: Vertical dynamics



- Vertical dynamics of the vehicle
- State of Art of Passive, Semi active and Active damping systems
- Roll motion




# Vertical dynamics of the vehicle



# ISO 2631 vibration comfort

- The human body reacts differently to vibrations depending on the frequency.
- The range between 4 and 8 Hz shows the largest sensitivity.
- ISO 2631 indicates a vibration sensitivity function that allows to take this into account



Source: Genta

# ISO 2631 vibration comfort

$$H_{2631}(s) = \frac{80.03s^2 + 989s + 0.02108}{s^3 + 78.92s^2 + 2412s + 5614}$$






Figure 4 Bode diagram of human sensitivity transfer function  $H_{hs}$



plot is quite similar to the plot in Figure 3, and also has a peak value between 4-8 Hz. The result is correct.

in 2.2 and plot the bode diagram of the spectrum density of acceleration after multiplying the human sensitivity transfer function  $H_{hs}$  after the previous transfer function in Figure 5

# Quarter car models



# 1DOF quarter car model response


$$m\ddot{z} + c\dot{z} + Kz = c\dot{h} + Kh,$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{|z_0|}{|h_0|} = \sqrt{\frac{K^2 + c^2\omega^2}{(K - m\omega^2)^2 + c^2\omega^2}} \\ \Phi = \arctan\left(\frac{-cm\omega^3}{K(K - m\omega^2) + c^2\omega^2}\right) \end{array} \right.$$

$$c_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{Km}{2}} = c_{cr} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}},$$

$$c_{cr} = 2\sqrt{Km}$$

$$F_z = c(\dot{z} - \dot{h}) + K(z - h) - m\ddot{z}$$






# 2 DOF quarter car model response

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_s & 0 \\ 0 & m_u \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \ddot{z}_s \\ \ddot{z}_u \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & -c \\ -c & c + c_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{z}_s \\ \dot{z}_u \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} K & -K \\ -K & K + P \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} z_s \\ z_u \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ c_t \dot{h} + Ph \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{|z_{s0}|}{|h_0|} = P \sqrt{\frac{K^2 + c^2 \omega^2}{f^2(\omega) + c^2 \omega^2 g^2(\omega)}} \\ \frac{|z_{n0}|}{|h_0|} = P \sqrt{\frac{(K - m \omega^2)^2 + c^2 \omega^2}{f^2(\omega) + c^2 \omega^2 g^2(\omega)}} \end{cases}$$


$$c_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{Km}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{P + 2K}{P}}$$

$$\begin{cases} f(\omega) = m_s m_u \omega^4 - [P m_s + K(m_s + m_u)] \omega^2 + KP \\ g(\omega) = (m_s + m_u) \omega^2 - P \end{cases}$$






$$\frac{|F_{z_0}|}{|h_0|} = P \omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{[K(m_s + m_u) - m_s m_u \omega^2]^2 + c^2(m_s + m_u) \omega^2}{f^2(\omega) + c^2 \omega^2 g^2(\omega)}}$$

# 2 DOF quarter car model response




# Dampers




# Damper characterization



Force – travel diagram





Force-speed diagram



# Three tube – continuous damping control



# Suspension kinematics – Instant center




Jacking force effect

If the resultant change of the tire force ( $F_y$ ,  $\Delta F_{z,nr}$ ) passes through IC, the suspension does not deflect. The load transfer  $\Delta F_{z,nr}$  is called “non rolling” load transfer.

$$\Delta F_{z,nr} = F_y \tan \epsilon$$

# Roll center height



Due to roll torque balance

$$F_y h_{RC} = \Delta F_{z,nr} t$$

Therefore


$$\frac{\Delta F_{z,nr}}{F_y} = \frac{h_{RC}}{t}$$

And

$$\tan \epsilon = \frac{h_{RC}}{t/2} = \frac{\Delta F_{z,nr}}{F_y/2}$$

If the lateral force acts on RC the tire forces pass through the IC. The suspensions do not deform. The load transfer is indicated as non rolling.

# Roll center height



In general the lateral force acts on the CG that is not located in the RC. Due to roll torque balance

$$F_y h = (\Delta F_{z,r} + \Delta F_{z,nr}) t$$

Therefore

$$\frac{(\Delta F_{z,r} + \Delta F_{z,nr})}{F_y} = \frac{h}{t}$$

And

$$\Delta F_{z,r} = F_y \frac{h}{t} - \Delta F_{z,nr} = F_y \frac{h}{t} - \frac{F_y}{2} \tan \epsilon = \frac{F_y}{t} (h - h_{RC})$$

The deformation of the suspension is just related to the rolling contribution to the load transfer.


$$\Delta F_{z,r}$$

We can then define a “anti-roll” factor as the ratio between the non rolling to the total load transfer

$$A_r = \frac{\Delta F_{z,nr}}{\Delta F_z} = \frac{F_y/2 \tan \epsilon}{F_y h/t} = \frac{h_{RC}}{h}$$



# Camber gain



Considering small displacements, the opposite wheel travel induces a body roll angle

$$\phi = \frac{\Delta z}{t/2}$$

The same wheel travel corresponds to a change in the camber angle (camber recovery)

$$\Delta\gamma = \frac{\Delta z}{fvsa}$$


The roll camber gain is the ratio between the camber recovery and the roll

$$\frac{\Delta\gamma}{\phi} = \frac{t/2}{fvsa}$$


If the roll camber  $\frac{\Delta\gamma}{\phi} \approx 1$  the suspensions recover the camber loss due to roll.

# Trailing arm





- Front view
  - Pure vertical motion. IC at  $\infty$  along bushing axis and RC on ground.
  - No camber change with wheel travel
  - Body roll translates in the same change in camber (no camber recovery)
  - No toe change
- Side view
  - IC is the bushing axis. Vertical position of IC must leave appropriate ground clearance.
  - For front suspension the wheel moves against obstacle.
- Top view
  - No toe change due to wheel travel
  - Side force induces toe out of out-the-bend wheel (destabilizing for rear suspensions)






# Trailing arm – construction







Ground-wheel instant center in case we consider the tire deformation





# Twisted beam axle




# Twisted beam suspension




# Twisted beam suspension



# Double wishbone





# Double wishbone IC migration








# Double whishbone – IC migration



# Double wishbone




Long strut

Short strut





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

# Mc Pherson IC and RC





# Mc Pherson – steering angles



Rotation in 3 orthogonal directions. Must be spherical joint

# Mc Pherson spring offset



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

# SLOT 6: CONTROL SYSTEMS



- Height adjustment system control
- Regenerative Suspension control
- Active roll control



# Height Adjustment Suspension control



# Outline



- Why height adjustment systems in passenger cars?
- Industrial state of the art and possible new technologies/architectures.
- Key features of electromechanical height adjustment suspension systems.
- A case study.
- Conclusions.






# Motivations

## FUEL CONSUMPTION AND gCO<sub>2</sub>/km REDUCTION



C<sub>x</sub> reduction only in extra urban - A Segment Car




• Off road attitude driving

**2015 – 2020 → from 130 to 95 gCo2/km → -35 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km (NEDC)**

# Pneumatic height adjustment system




ZF Air suspension



# Hydraulic height adjustment system




## Hydraulic Lower Plate Movimentation

Magneti Marelli S.P.A., US 2015/0028552 A1, 2015





## Hydraulic Upper Plate Movimentation

Mercedes, US 5401053A, 1995




# Hydropneumatic height adjustment system



# Available Solutions on Market

## HYDRAULIC SUSPENSIONS




## AIR SUSPENSIONS

## HYDROPNEUMATIC SUSPENSIONS



- **System complexity and high cost;**
- **Large space needed, not suitable for compact vehicles;**
- **Air spring works in parallel with shock absorber (defined travel);**
- **High segment cars.**

# Electromechanical height adjustment system





- **System simplicity;**
- **Relatively lower cost;**
- **Compact sizes;**
- **Modularity;**
- **High reliability;**
- **Low segment cars.**

# Possible Configurations: Motion of lower plate

- **Mechanical Direct Lower Plate Movimentation**

- Audi AG, US 2009/0146385A1, **2009**






# Possible Configurations: Motion of lower plate



## • Lower Plate Movimentation with Leverages

- Audi AG, US 8317003B2, **2012**
- Audi AG, EP 2199121B1, **2014**







# Possible Configurations: Motion of the upper plate



- **Mechanical Upper Plate Movimentation**

- Audi AG, EP 1970227A2, **2007**
- Honda, US7922181B2, **2011**



# Possible Configurations

- Shock Absorber Tube Movimentation
  - Hyundai, US 8833775B2, **2014**




# Summary



Type	Features	Efficiency	Mechanical Complexity	Packaging	Additional Mass (S/US)	Modifications of suspension characteristics	Attitude for Slow active	Score
Spring holder, Mechanical		★	★ ★ ★	★ ★	US	★ ★	no	8
Lower Plate, Hydraulic		★ ★	★ ★	★	US	★ ★	yes	7
Spring holder, eccentric actuation		★ ★	★ ★	★	US	★ ★	no	7
Upper Plate, Mechanical		★ ★	★	★	S	★ ★	no	6
Upper Plate, Hydraulic		★ ★	★ ★	★	S	★ ★	yes	7
S.A. Tube		★	★	★ ★	US	★ ★ ★	no	7


# Proposed Solution: Front

- **Basic Idea:**
  - Lower/rise the spring lower plate by an electromechanical actuator
  - **The end stops location is not modified:**
    - Global suspension stroke is not modified
    - No end stop loads on the mechanism
    - **Comfort issues due to a different zero position with respect to the global stroke**




# Proposed Solution: Rear

- **Basic Idea:**
  - Lower/rise the spring lower plate by an electromechanical actuator
  - The end stops location is not modified:
    - Global suspension stroke is not modified
    - No end stop loads on the mechanism
    - Comfort issues due to a different zero position with respect to the global stroke



# Design Procedure



- Performance Definition
  - Stroke length -  $s$  [mm]
  - Actuation time -  $t$  [s]
  - Load -  $F_n$  [N]
  - Max total power -  $P_{max}$  [W]
- EM Power Computation

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\omega}_{em} \\ \omega_{em} \\ i \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{k_t}{J_{eq}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k_e}{L} & 0 & -\frac{R}{L} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega_{em} \\ \theta_{em} \\ I \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{J_{eq}} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{L} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} V_{DC} \\ T_r \end{Bmatrix}$$


$$P_{req,EM} = \frac{F_n}{\eta_{tot}} \cdot \frac{s}{t}$$

- Transmission efficiency can be estimated
- Screw efficiency can be computed as a function of different values of diameter and pitch

# Design Procedure



## VARIABLES COMPUTATION



- The larger the diameter, the smaller is the efficiency. Power and required torque increase;
- Selection of the electric motor power;
- Selection of the transmission reduction ratio.



Irreversibility Constraint

# Design Procedure

## OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM – Front Suspension (McPherson)



### Constraints

$$\eta_s < 50\%$$

$$d_{in,s} > d_{tube}$$

$$i < i_{th}$$



$$t_{50\text{ mm}} < t_{max}$$








# Prototype Design

## Front Suspension (McPherson)




ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	QTY.
1	Spring holder	1
2	Motor support	1
3	Pulley bushing	1
4	Needle bearing	1
5	Small pulley+carrier	1
6	Geartrain assembly	1
7	Motor support cover	1
8	DC electric motor	1
9	Motor cover	1
10	Shock absorber tube	1
11	Power screw	1
12	Anti rotation system	2
13	Sealing ring	1
14	Timing HTD Belt	1
15	Large pulley	1
16	Combined bearing	1

# Rear Suspension



# Prototype Construction

## Front Suspension Components



- Total added mass= 2.2 kg (unsprung mass)




# Prototype Construction

## Rear Suspension Components




- Total added mass= 2.1 kg (sprung mass)




# Experimental Validations



## Front Suspension Current Absorbtion



$$i_{mean} = 17 A$$
$$P_{mean} = 200 W$$



$$i_{mean} = 21 A$$
$$P_{mean} = 250 W$$



# Conclusions

- Height adjustment suspension systems seem to be promising technologies to reduce fuel consumptions and emissions, adding features like off road attitude and accessibility;
- Electromechanical actuation systems show the best compromise between benefits and cost in height adjustment devices;
- Integrated design is needed to achieve a compromise between size, weight and energy consumption;
- Experimental tests carried out on a prototype highlighted critical issues like screw efficiency, anti-rotation devices and packaging;
- Eccentric actuation seems a good compromise in terms of efficiency, robustness and packaging



# Improvements




FIG.1


Damper and spring unit for a vehicle suspension provided with an electro-mechanical adjustment device for adjusting the vertical position of the spring  
Patent: WO2019097461A1

# Regenerative Suspension control






# Classification of electromagnetic dampers




# Linear Electromagnetic Dampers

**Ebrahimi et al.** propose a linear damper with low power density, since 80% of the damping is attained through passive means, while the remaining 20% is active electromagnetic damping.




**Paulides et al.** propose a linear damper to use in parallel to a dissipative element. They are able to yield a RMS force of 1 kN and 2.5 kN of peak force. The device demands 40-50 W of electric power due to active control.




# Piezoelectric Harvesters

**Hyundai** patented a piezoelectric array solution to install on the spring coils and harvest power from their linear motion. They do not provide information on the harvested power



**Xie and Wang** suggest the introduction of a piezoelectric bar between the sprung mass and the suspension lower arm to harvest energy from suspension motion. With one bar of 15x15x100 mm, the device can harvest a RMS power of 738 W running through ISO D profile at 35 km/h.



# Electromechanical Dampers

## Ball screw solutions





Figure 3. Shock absorber detailed section view. (1) Outer housing, (2) screw, (3) bottom cap, (4) inner housing, (5) rotor, (6) permanent magnets, (7) ball bearings, (8) nut, (9) stator, (10) encoder, (11) top cap.

**Kawamoto et al.** rotating screw solution for passenger cars.

**Tonoli et al.** rotating nut solution for off road vehicles.

# Electromechanical Dampers



## *Rack pinion solutions (Zuo et al.)*



**Non-rectified solution** yields an average power of 19.2 W when running at 48 km/h on a “fairly smooth university campus road” (probably between ISO B and ISO C).




**Rectified solution** yields an average power of 15.2 W when running at 25 km/h on a “fairly smooth university campus road” (probably between ISO B and ISO C).



# Electromechanical Dampers

## *Two-leg mechanism*




**Maravandi et al.** present a two-leg lever mechanism to convert linear into rotary motion.

Tests performed with a shaker demonstrate a total conversion efficiency of 0.59.

However, the device requires a gearbox to amplify the rotation and the stroke is limited by the inclination of the two legs.


# Electrohydrostatic Dampers

- **Levant Power** present numerous patents and some conference paper in the context of electrohydrostatic dampers.




Their paper shows a high **pump** efficiency (0.8 max, mechanical + hydraulic) attained through simulations.

However, their website suggests the following **total** efficiency plot, where max. value is 0.5.




# Electrohydrostatic Dampers

*Rectified solutions*



**Fang et al.** present a technology demonstrator able to yield a total conversion efficiency of 16.5%.




**Li et al.** present a prototype with total conversion efficiency of 39%







# Electrohydrostatic Dampers

## *Rectified solutions*




**Zhang et al.** determine the optimal hydraulic efficiency of a three-tube shock absorber (73%) through detailed modeling and simulation when employed on an electromagnetic damper layout.

# Electrohydrostatic Damper



Optimized design and characterization of motor-pump unit for energyregenerative shock absorbers  
 Renato Galluzzi\*, Yijun Xu, Nicola Amati, Andrea Tonoli


# Rotary Dampers



**Hyundai rotary damper unit.**  
**Motor + Reduction Stage.**





**Volvo rotary spring-damper unit.**  
Damping is **semi-active** (MR fluid). **One of the first rotary layouts.**



# State of Art – Electromechanical Rotary Damper


Hyundai [DE102013225356]



- One-way clutch 56. May be configured to transmit power in bumping or rebounding

- Gearbox:  
1 parallel axis stage (57, 59)  
+  
3 planetary set Stages (PC1-PG3)


# Rotary Dampers (Motor + Reduction Stage)



## Audi eROT

- 1) Actuating lever
- 2) Two-stage planetary gearbox
- 3) Electric machine

AUDI claims 100-150 W of power from 4 corners running on German roads, with emission savings of 3 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km



# Active Roll Control System



# ARC systems analysed

---

- Linear electro-hydrostatic actuators system
- Rotary electro-hydrostatic actuator system
- Rotary electro-mechanical actuator system
- Direct linear electro-mechanical actuators system
- Non direct linear electro-mechanical actuators system



# Electric Hydrostatic ARC systems



Land Rover Range Rover (2016 model) “Dynamic Response” system. Rotary Electric Hydrostatic ARC system by Delphi.



Max Pressure = 185 bar  
Ramp rate = 400 bar/sec  
Pump speed = 11250 RPM  
260 mm Front wheel travel



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

<https://youtu.be/XulkFsUnw64>

FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY



# Hydraulic ARC systems

BMW X5 M and X6 M features the BMW Dynamic Drive system (older version), which consist of a rotary hydraulic actuator placed in the middle of stabilizer bar.



Source: BMW



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

# Rotary Electro mechanical ARC systems - Schaeffler



Schaeffler Design 1 – 12 V

BMW 7 series. Schaeffler ARC system (new BMW Dynamic Drive version) substitutes the rotary hydraulic ARC system of the previous version.



Also Rolls-Royce Phantom is equipped with the Schaeffler ARC system Design 1.



Source: BMW



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

# Rotary Electro mechanical ARC systems - Schaeffler



Schaeffler Design 2 – 48 V

The ARC system is equipped with the Audi SQ7, with the Bentley Bentayga and Bentley Mulsanne.



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

Source: Schaeffler

FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY

# Rotary Electro mechanical ARC systems - ZF



The ARC profile VI system is installed in Porsche Cayenne and Porsche Panamera and it is the core of the Porsche Dynamic Chassis Control (PDCC).



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union


Source: ZF

FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY

# Linear electro-hydrostatic actuators system



Pendulum bars replaced by double acting hydraulic linear actuators that exert a torque on the anti roll bar, withstanding the roll moment of the vehicle body.



Delphi own actuator for ARC system, featuring a compressible gas chamber, a floating piston, a compressible spring, an electrically operated valve between the compression and rebound chamber and a bore along the piston rod.





Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union


# Rotary electro-hydrostatic actuator system



The double acting rotary actuator is placed in the middle of the anti roll bar. The actuator rotates the two halves of the torsion bar, adjusting the torque transmitted by the bar to compensate for the load transfer during a curve.



The anti roll moment exerted by the rotary actuator in the anti roll bar is function of pressure differential inside the actuator.



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

# Rotary electro mechanical actuator system




This ARC system features an electric motor connected with reduction gears, to counteract roll motion. The electric motor and the reduction gears together form the stabilizer actuator, positioned in the middle of the anti roll bar.

The most performing reduction gears mechanism is a multistage epicycloidal gear set, like a 3 stages planetary gear set.




# Direct linear electro mechanical actuators system



Hyundai own system.



The stabilizer bar (101) is connected trough a ball joint mechanism (420) to the linear electro mechanical actuator, which consists of screw rod (210) and an electric motor (300) , connected to the suspension strut trough a joint (220).



When the electric motor rotates, the rotative movement of the drive gear of the motor is converted in alternate movement of the screw rod, leading, so, to a deflection of the stabilizer bar end that creates an anti roll moment.



# Direct linear electro mechanical actuator system




Hyundai own system.

The actuator consists of a drive electric motor (37) that puts in rotation a shaft (39) connected to the screw rotation shaft (33) through a coupling (51). The screw rotation shaft is integrally connected to the lower end of a lead screw (31) along the axial direction.

The lead screw rotates in the thread of a screw groove G formed in the lower interior surface of the power transmitter (23), which is connected to the anti roll bar end through a joint J placed in the engage hole H.

# Non direct linear electro mechanical actuators system



Hyundai own system.

The actuator is connected to the stabilizer bar (201) through a ball joint connection with a stabilizer link (203). The actuator features a rail unit (200), in which a connector (211), linked to the lower end of stabilizer link, can slide. The connector is put in movement by the power transmission driving shaft (105) which translates due to the rotation of screw rotary body (107) induced by the electric motor (109).

The actuator is attached to the lower arm of suspension strut (205) and act transversally respect to vehicle own direction.

# Trade off of the actuators



ARC actuator typologies	Linear Hydrostatic	Rotary Hydrostatic	Rotary Electro-mech	Linear Electro-mech	Non Linear Electro-mech
Layout	In place of pendulum bars	In the middle of stabilizer bar	In the middle of stabilizer bar	In place of one pendulum bar	In place of one pendulum bar
Energy demand	<p style="text-align: center;">+</p> <p style="text-align: center;">&lt; hydraulic systems</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">+</p> <p style="text-align: center;">&lt; hydraulic systems</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">++</p> <p style="text-align: center;">possibility to recover energy</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">+</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">+</p>
Working angle	<p>Left/Right total free stroke function of suspension architecture</p> <p>1 actuator -</p> <p>2 actuators +</p>	<p>Left/Right total free stroke guaranteed.</p> <p>+/- 50° angles</p> <p style="text-align: center;">+</p>	<p>Left/Right total free stroke guaranteed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">+</p>	<p>Left/Right total free stroke function of suspension architecture</p> <p>1 actuator -</p>	<p>Left/Right total free stroke function of suspension architecture.</p> <p>System rigidly attached to lower frame -</p>



# Trade off

ARC actuator typologies	Linear Hydrostatic	Rotary Hydrostatic	Rotary Electro-mech	Linear Electro-mech	Non Linear Electro-mech
Response time	-	-	+	+	+
Unsprung mass	-	+	+	++	++
Maintenance	- oil leakages, cavitation	- oil leakages, cavitation	+	+	+
Design and Installation	++	- big diameter	+	+	-
Performance	++	+++	+++	+	+
Fail safe characteristic	+ ≅ passive bar	+ ≅ passive bar	-	-	-
Cost	++	-	+	++	++



# Bibliography

1. Genta G, Morello L. The automotive chassis: volume 1: Component design. Springer Science & Business Media; 2008.
2. Genta G, Morello L. The automotive chassis: volume 2: System design. Springer Science & Business Media; 2008.
3. Onori S, Serrao L, Rizzoni G. Hybrid electric vehicles: Energy management strategies. London: Springer; 2016.
4. Guzzella L, Sciarretta A. Vehicle propulsion systems. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 2007 Jun.
5. Dietsche K, Klingebiel M. Bosch Automotive Handbook. 7th Edition, Robert Bosch GmbH. 2007.
6. Amati N, Tonoli A, Castellazzi L, Ruzimov S. Design of electromechanical height adjustable suspension. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering. 2018 Aug;232(9):1253-69.
7. <https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2019097461A1/en> Patent: WO2019097461A1 Damper and spring unit for a vehicle suspension provided with an electro-mechanical adjustment device for adjusting the vertical position of the spring
8. <https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2017202811A1>
9. Gysen BL, Paulides JJ, Janssen JL, Lomonova EA. Active electromagnetic suspension system for improved vehicle dynamics. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology. 2009 Dec 18;59(3):1156-63.
10. Ebrahimi B, Bolandhemmat H, Khamesee MB, Golnaraghi F. A hybrid electromagnetic shock absorber for active vehicle suspension systems. Vehicle System Dynamics. 2011 Feb 1;49(1-2):311-32.





---

## Engineering Knowledge Transfer Units to Increase Student's Employability and Regional Development



<https://www.facebook.com/unitederasmus/>



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

*This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*  
598710-EPP-1-2018-1-AT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY